

**ALL INDIA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES**  
**SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA**  
**FOR THE 149<sup>TH</sup> MEETING OF**  
**GOVERNING BODY**

TO BE HELD ON : 19<sup>TH</sup> JULY, 2013

TIME : 12.00 Noon

PLACE : 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Committee Room,  
Ministry of Health & Family  
Welfare, Nirman Bhawan,  
New Delhi.



ALL INDIA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES

F.No. 2-1/2013-Genl (GB-149)

Ansari Nagar, New Delhi-29  
Dated: 12 JUL 2013

MEMORANDUM

Subject:-

149<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the Governing Body scheduled to be held on Friday the 19<sup>th</sup> July, 2013 at 12:00 Noon in the Committee Room, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi.

\*\*\*\*\*

Further to this office Memorandum of even number dated 25.06.2013 on the above mentioned subject, the *Supplementary Agenda* for the Governing Body Meeting is enclosed.

Encl.: As above


The Chairman and all the Members of the Governing Body.

04/7/13

MEMBER SECRETARY

DIRECTOR &

(PROF. R.C. DEKA)



SUPPLEMENTRY AGENDA FOR THE 149<sup>TH</sup> GOVERNING BODY MEETING TO BE HELD ON FRIDAY THE 19<sup>TH</sup> JULY, 2013 AT 12:00 NOON IN THE COMMITTEE ROOM, 3<sup>RD</sup> FLOOR, MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE, NIRMAN BHAWAN, NEW DELHI.

CONTENTS

ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
GB-149/13	To consider the minutes of the Academic Committee Meeting held on 04.09.2012 at AIIMS, New Delhi	183 - 186
GB-149/14	To consider the minutes of 137 <sup>th</sup> Meeting of the Standing Estate Committee held on 15 <sup>th</sup> February, 2013 at AIIMS, New Delhi	187 - 194
GB-149/15	To consider the proposal regarding construction of private ward at AIIMS, New Delhi	195 - 203
GB-149/16	To consider enhancing the monetary value of the penalties upto 7-8 lakhs for discontinuing PG course at AIIMS	204 - 205
GB-149/17	To discuss the modalities of Inter-Institutional Biodesign Centre between three Institutes i.e. AIIMS, IIT-Delhi and THSTI, Faridabad	206 - 208
GB-149/18	To consider the proposal for initiating minor penalty proceedings against Dr. O.P. Murty, Additional Professor of Forensic Medicine, AIIMS, New Delhi in the light of the recommendations of Medical Council of India	209 - 214
GB-149/19	Vigilance case regarding imposition of penalty - ratification thereof (Confidential)	To be placed on the table
GB-149/20	Any other item with the permission of the chair	

NOTE FOR THE GOVERNING BODY

ITEM NO. GB-149/13

To consider the minutes of the Academic Committee Meeting held on 04.09.2012 at AIIMS, New Delhi

NOTE FOR THE GOVERNING BODY

Item No. G.B./149/13

TO CONSIDER THE MINUTES OF THE ACADEMIC COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON 04.09.2012 AT AIIMS, NEW DELHI.

INTRODUCTION

The Governing Body at its meeting held on 18<sup>th</sup> September, 1997 while considering the action taken on the minutes of the Governing Body held on 4<sup>th</sup> April 1997 observed as under:

“Noted: with regard to the action taken under Item GB (1), the Governing Body observed that all decision of the Standing Committee of the Institute having financial implication, should be brought forth before the Governing Body as an agenda item”.

ADMINISTRATIVE COMMENTS

The minutes of the Academic Committee meeting held on 04.09.2012 are placed before the Governing Body for their approval.

APPROVAL SOUGHT

In view of the above, the minutes of the Academic Committee meeting held on 04.09.2012 are placed before the Governing Body of their consideration and approval.

MINUTES OF THE 108<sup>TH</sup> ACADEMIC COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON 4<sup>TH</sup> SEPTEMBER, 2012 AT 11.00 AM IN THE DR. V. RAMALINGASWAMI BOARD ROOM AT AIMS, NEW DELHI.

The 108<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Academic Committee was held on 4<sup>th</sup> September, 2012 in Dr. V. Ramalingaswami Board Room, AIMS, New Delhi. The following members were present.

- |    |                    |   |                  |
|----|--------------------|---|------------------|
| 1. | Dr. Jagdish Prasad | - | Chairman         |
| 2. | Dr. Dinesh Singh   | - | Member           |
| 3. | Dr. Ramakant Panda | - | Member           |
| 4. | Dr. R.C. Deka      | - | Member-Secretary |

Dr. Jyoti Mirzha, Dr. M.K. Bhan, Dr. A. Thakur, Dr. K.C. Pandey, Dr. Abdul Hamid Zargar could not attend the meeting.

The following officers also attend the meeting as Special Invitee.

1. Dr. Shashi Wadhwa, Dean (Academic)
2. Dr. A.B. Dey, Dean (Research)
3. Dr. D.K. Sharma, Medical Superintendent
4. Dr. K.K. Verma, Professor-in-charge (Examinations)
5. Dr. Rakesh Yadav, Sub-Dean (Acad.)
6. Dr. Nand Kumar, Sub-Dean (Exams.)
7. Sh. Sandeep Lall, Sr. Financial Advisor.

The Chairman confirmed that the quorum for the meeting was complete. The Director, AIMS welcomed the chairman, Dr. Jagdish Prasad and the other members to the 108<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Academic Committee.

Thereafter the agenda items were taken up for discussion.

Item No.AC/108/1 - To confirm the minutes of Academic Committee meeting held on 25<sup>th</sup> August, 2011

The minutes of the Academic Committee held on 25<sup>th</sup> Aug 2011 were

circulated to all members and no comments received from the members

The minutes of Academic Committee meeting held on 25<sup>th</sup> August, 2011

were confirmed

Item No.AC/108/2 - Action taken on the minutes of the Academic Committee held on 25<sup>th</sup>

August, 2011

The action taken on the decision taken in the 107<sup>th</sup> academic committee meeting held on 25<sup>th</sup> August 2011 was brought to the notice of the academic committee and were noted.

On the matter of grouping of the posts in CDER (Item No.AC/102/01) Chief, CDER has been called as special invitee to discuss this issue. However, the Committee decided that this matter to be put in next Academic Committee meeting as a separate agenda.

On the matter for enhancing the penalty for abandoning post graduate seats (Item No.AC/102/02), data for the last years, regarding number of PG students leaving the course was put in the meeting. The Chairman pointed out that this penalty is so less that the student who are not interested in pursuing the course join for few month and leave in-between so the student who are interested in those disciplines could not get the seats. He, therefore, suggested that AIIMS should consider enhancing the monetary value of the penalties upto 7-8 lakhs. Dr Ramakant Panda also supported the view to enhance the penalty. Director has pointed out that before enhancing the penalty to this extent Resident and Students Union need to be informed and their view should also be taken before taking any final decision and also suggested that the matter may be brought before Governing Body (GB) as an agenda.

The Academic Committee, decided that the penalty should be increased, however the amount to be decided by Governing Body and this item be placed in the GB accordingly.

Item No.AC/108/3 - Institution of Prof. P.N. Tandon and Prof. A.K. Banerjee Oration at AIIMS, New Delhi.

*Surinder Man Singh*  
*Chairman*

\*\*\*\*\*

The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to all present & the Chair.

question paper.

Examination Section should make a SOP for this issue of setting a proper

All other members agreed for the same and it was decided that

may be accordingly distributed.

contained in the paper should be graded and proportion of such questions

cardiovascular subject and even in that the difficulty of questions

50% need to be asked from general surgery and only 50% should be from

and only 50 % should be from superspecialty subjects. As in this said case

superspecialty examinations, 50% should be asked from general subjects

changing the pattern of examination questions. He pointed out that in all

one can qualify the written examination. He emphasized the need of

student in department of CTVS. He said that this time out of 4 seats only

In the end the Chairman pointed out the result of selection of M.Ch

Any other item with permission of the chair.

This was Approved by the academic committee

AIIMS, New Delhi.

Item No.AC/109/4 - Institution of Dr. Surinder Man Singh Memorial Urology Oration at

This was Approved by the Academic Committee



NOTE FOR THE GOVERNING BODY

ITEM NO. GB-149/14

To consider the minutes of 137<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the Standing  
Estate Committee held on 15<sup>th</sup> February, 2013 at AIIMS,  
New Delhi



**NOTE FOR THE GOVERNING BODY**

Item No. GB/149/14

TO CONSIDER THE MINUTES OF 13<sup>TH</sup> MEETING OF THE  
STANDING ESTATE COMMITTEE HELD ON 15<sup>TH</sup> FEBRUARY,  
2013 AT THE AIMS, NEW DELHI.  
\*\*\*\*\*

**1) INTRODUCTION**

1.1 The Governing Body at its meeting held on 18<sup>th</sup> September, 1997 while considering the action taken on the minutes of the Governing Body meeting held on 4<sup>th</sup> April, 1997 observed as under:-

“Noted: with regard to the action taken under Item No. GB(1), the Governing Body observed that all decision of the Standing Committees of the Institute having financial implications, should be brought forth before the Governing Body as an agenda item.”

**2) ADMINISTRATIVE COMMENTS**

2.1 The minutes of the 13<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the Standing Estate Committee held on 15<sup>th</sup> February, 2013 are placed before the Governing Body for their approval.

**3) APPROVAL SOUGHT**

3.1 In view of above, the Minutes of the 13<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the Standing Estate Committee held on 15<sup>th</sup> February, 2013 are placed before the Governing Body for their consideration and approval.

-- 000 --

-- 000 --

-- 000 --

THROUGH SPECIAL MESSENGER  
BY SPEED POST

**ALL INDIA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES**

Ansari Nagar, New Delhi-29  
Dated: 23 Apr 2013

**MEMORANDUM**

Minutes of the 137<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the Standing Estate Committee held on 15<sup>th</sup> February, 2013 at 11:30 A.M. in the Dr. Ramalingaswami Board Room, AIIMS, New Delhi.

Subject:

Minutes of the Standing Estate Committee meeting held on 15<sup>th</sup> February, 2013 at 11:30 A.M. in the Dr. Ramalingaswami Board Room, AIIMS, New Delhi as approved by the Chairman of the Standing Estate Committee, are circulated to Chairman and all the Members of the Standing Estate Committee for information. Observations, if any, may kindly be sent to the undersigned within two weeks from the date of issue of this Memorandum.

*(Signature)*  
**(PROF. R.C. DEKA)**  
DIRECTOR & MEMBER SECRETARY

Encl: As above.

The Chairman and all the Members of the Standing Estate Committee.

## All India Institute of Medical Sciences

189

Minutes of the 137<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Standing Estate Committee held on 15<sup>th</sup> February 2013 at Dr. V. Ramalingaswami Board Room, AIIMS, New Delhi.

The 137<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Estate Committee was held on 15<sup>th</sup> February 2013 in Dr. V. Ramalingaswami Board Room at the AIIMS, New Delhi. The following were present in the meeting.

1)	Dr S.P Agarwal, Secretary General	--	Chairman	
	Indian Red Cross Society			
2)	Dr. Jyoti Mirdha	--	Member	
	Hon'ble Member of Parliament (Lok Sabha)			
3)	Dr K.K Talwar	--	Member	
	Chairman			
	Board of Governors			
4)	Prof R.C Deka	--	Member Secretary	
	Director			
	A.I.I.M.S., New Delhi-110 029			

Dr M.K Bhan, Ex-Secretary to Govt. of India, Deptt. of Biotechnology, Ministry of Science & Technology, Dr R.A. Bawde, Director, Tata Memorial Hospital, Sh Rajiv Takru, Addl. Secretary and Financial Adviser, Ministry of Health & Family Welfare and Dr Jagdish Prasad, Director General of Health Services, MOH & FW could not attend the meeting.

Prof. Shashi Wadhwa, Dean, Dr R.S Shukla, Dy. Director (Admn.), Mr Sandeep Lall, Sr. Financial Adviser, Dr. D.K. Sharma, Medical Superintendent and Sh. B.S. Anand, Superintending Engineer also attended the meeting.

At the onset Director, AIIMS welcomed the Chairman and Members for sparing their valuable time to attend the said meeting and sought permission of the Hon'ble Chair to start the proceedings of the meeting. Dr Agarwal opened the discussions and briefed the Members, that Dr. Jyoti Mirdha had sent an email to him on 15<sup>th</sup> November which he had forwarded to AIIMS vide letter dated 12.12.12, and as

desired by Dr Mirzha, the same may be taken on record. He recalled the discussions held at length in the 136<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the Estate Committee regarding the jurisdiction of Standing Estate Committee. It was then desired by the members that the issue may be taken to the next Governing Body Meeting for providing clarification. Accordingly, the said issue was discussed in the 148<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the GB held on 22.10.12 vide item no 17 which decided as under:

*"The Governing Body decided that all new projects should be placed before the Estate Committee for its consideration in the first instance and only thereafter it should be placed before the Standing Finance Committee and the Governing Body. The Governing Body also authorized the Estate Committee to co-opt the services or call the technical/engineering other experts on the issue of any upcoming projects, in their meetings."*

Dr Agarwal expressed hope that now the position stands settled in the matter. Dr Jyoti Mirzha, reiterated that in nut shell, any project costing beyond Rs 5.0 crore, is to be brought before the SEC. Director, AIIMS, assured the Committee of compliance with the above decision in future.

Item No. EC/137/1 - Confirmation of minutes of 136<sup>th</sup> Meeting of Standing Estate Committee held on 20<sup>th</sup> March, 2012 at DR. V. RAMALINGASWAMI BOARD ROOM of AIIMS, NEW DELHI.

Confirmed.

Item No. EC/137/2 - Action taken on the minutes of the 135<sup>th</sup> Estate Committee Meeting held on 9<sup>th</sup> September 2012.

Dr Jyoti Mirzha desired to know the outcome of the decision taken w.r.t. flooring to be provided in CCU. It was explained that, as decided in the last meeting, the views of Chief Architect, DGHS, had been sought on the matter and finally Florina Marble i.e. factory processed and polished Marble has been taken in the estimate. On the specific query, it was informed that the proposal finally put to tender bears the consent of the user department. It was informed that since then the financial bids

have been opened and rates received are being analyzed for its reasonability. The work is likely to be started within a month.

Regarding points recorded for the vertical expansion of JPNATC, it was explained that subsequent to the meeting of the last SEC, the requirement of waiting area has been discussed with Chief of the Centre and adequate waiting areas have been planned in the already submitted plans of the proposed expansion. The number of lifts planned is adequate. Regarding strengthening of the rehabilitation facilities at Ansari Nagar Campus, it was explained that Chief of JPNATC is taking action for the same. Dr. Jyoti Mirgha raised the issue of utilization of permissible FAR (Floor Area Ratio) at JPNATC trauma Centre Campus where vertical expansion of building is planned. It was explained that proposed expansion is over the already built up area of Operation Theatre block and the extended basement. The issue of full utilisation of FAR shall be ensured as and when the complete Master Plan of the Campus is finalised in the next phase.

Thereafter, the actions taken were noted.

Item No. EC/137/03 - Status of Expansion Projects as are under way at AIIMS.

The status of works was discussed. HSCC, the project consultants, made a presentation about all the ongoing projects in detail. A status report on specific approvals granted by SEC and other bodies was also put on the table. The Committee desired that henceforth all such information should be sent in advance with the agenda itself. It was explained that out of the 16 projects included in the list placed in the agenda, 10 projects had been in the first instance considered by SEC and only 5 projects were put up directly to other bodies without routing through SEC. One item was being put up as a new item in the present meeting. It was decided that the said five projects as were not brought before the SEC may be put up on the table in the next SEC meeting, to apprise the SEC of the details of the proposal with status. Dr. Jyoti Mirgha expressed her displeasure at the misinterpretation of the AIIMS regulation as a result of which five projects were not routed through SEC. It was reiterated by the Director, AIIMS, that this would not be repeated.

Dr. Jyoti Mirgha wanted to know the provisioning of staff at OPD at Badsha(Uhajar). Director explained that the said OPD is running smoothly with the help of Senior Residents deputed from the existing sanctioned strength of AIIMS. He also added that contractual appointments have been made at the level of Asst. Prof. in various disciplines exclusively for the said OPD.

The projection of integrated parking project was discussed further, wherein the Committee raised queries about the capacity of the Integrated UG Parking hub and basis of allocation of so vast an area for the same. It was explained that the parking is being developed not only on need basis but also as per the requirement of building bye laws of MPD 2021 which stipulates creation of 2 parking slots for every 100 Sq Mts covered area. During discussions, it was put on record that the cost as projected is excluding the cost of parking basements of Mother & Child, OPD and Surgical Block. Dr. K.K Talwar raised the issue of alternate use of vacant basement area after peak OPD hours. However, it was felt that no alternate use can be planned therein. Also, it was clarified that the said proposal was part of the original Master Plan conceived in 2009.

Dr. Jyoti Mirgha enquired about the criteria on the basis of which works are being entrusted to HSCC on nomination Basis. Dr. R.S Shukla, DD(A) explained that the MOH & FW can entrust works on nomination basis to the Public Sector undertaking working under its aegis with specific approval of the Minister. Accordingly, the works are being entrusted to HSCC and HLL. It was put on record that the nomination of HSCC has been approved by Governing Body of AIIMS and the terms and conditions of the contract were also decided by the GB.

It was also informed that as per the height restrictions imposed by NMA(National Monument Authority) for 21 mts., the Master Plan has been revised by relocating the Mother & Child Block and the Surgical Block. It was further explained that the height of the hostel blocks had to be restricted resulting in reduction in number of rooms from 550 to 346. To make up for the said reduction in number of rooms in the hostels, two new blocks have been proposed in the Master Plan which is under consideration of NDMC.



Dr. Jyoti Mirgha pointed out that the said item was approved in the 148th meeting of the GB vide item no 12. However while discussing the Minutes of the 136th Meeting of SEC, the item of OPD was again discussed vide item no 17, wherein it was decided that issue of reduction in floors in the new OPD as approved under item 12 and resultant reduction in cost should be revisited by the Estate Committee. Dr Jyoti Mirgha wanted to know the rule position vis a vis interpretation of the said contradictory recordings. The issue of competence of SEC to reconsider an already approved estimate by SFC/GB was also raised. The matter was debated upon at length. Director, AIIMS, informed that previously the height of the OPD was to be restricted as per norms followed by Delhi Fire Services. However, the said restriction has been withdrawn by DFS (Delhi Fire services), as a result of which, as of now, there is no reduction of height and cost.

The Chairman summed up the issue saying that the purpose of revisiting the estimate by SEC was to examine the reduction in height due to DFS restrictions. Since, DFS has cleared the proposal without restrictions; no reduction in cost is required. Hence, the approval granted by GB remains unaffected and the item of OPD as brought before the SEC now may be part of the review of projects not routed through SEC as decided in the item no 3. Thereafter, HSCC made a presentation of the proposal (OPD Block). During the discussions on the same, it was explained that the total area allocated in the basement for blood collection is also for other diagnostic facilities. Regarding stacking and grouping of the various departments of different floors, the Committee was apprised that a user committee under the Chairmanship of Prof. P.P. Kotwal, HOD, Orthopaedics, had been constituted for deciding on the issues relating to stacking and size of area allocation for each department.

It was also informed that the said project was part of the original Master Plan approved by GB in 2009. It was debated if the OPD block could have been planned bigger with futuristic load requirements not limiting to 2025. It was explained that the Masjid Moth Block site is already planned for the optimal allowed FAR and further FAR

*Ramesh Kumar*

The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to all present.

Director, AIIMS assured necessary action would be initiated in this regard.

- (i) Creation of a Minor OT in the CNC OPD Area.
  - (ii) Exploring possibility of covering of waiting/Queue forming area by means of a suitable shed in front of CNC OPD.
  - (iii) Creation of toilet for Faculty in CNC OPD.
1. An item of integrated parking was put up on the table for discussion. The Committee was of the view that the said item may be taken up in the next meeting.
  2. The Chairman SEC wanted to know the progress about the following issues which he had earlier taken up with the former DDA, AIIMS -

Construction of basement Parking Hub.

Item No. EC/137/05 - Any other item with the permission of the Chair - Proposed

The item was thus considered reviewed.

AIIMS, New Delhi is expected to stop growing exponentially.

It was also stated that once the six AIIMS like Institutes are functional, the load on can only be available once the low rise housing blocks of Ansari Nagar are demolished.

To consider the proposal regarding construction of private  
ward at AIIMS, New Delhi

ITEM NO. GB-149/15

NOTE FOR THE GOVERNING BODY

NOTE FOR THE GOVERNING BODY

Item No GB 149/15

TO CONSIDER THE PROPOSAL REGARDING CONSTRUCTION

OF PRIVATE WARD AT AIIMS, NEW DELHI.

\*\*\*\*\*

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 In the 148<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Governing body while considering the Minutes of the

Standing Finance Committee meeting held on 28.05.2012, the issue of construction of Paid ward block after demolition of old private ward block came up for discussion. The GB was of the view that the construction of replacement block be postponed for the time being. It was also decided that possibility of demolition of new private ward may be explored and proposal be framed with respect to-

- Number of rooms to be available after new construction.

- Number of cars which could be parked at the basement and;

- Expenditure involved

- Proposal to be prepared for both options and to be placed before the Governing

Body for decision.

Accordingly the matter is being placed before the GB. The gist of the

chronology of events in the matter is as below -

1.2

The proposal for renovation of existing old private ward block had been approved

by the SFC in the 197<sup>th</sup> held on 11.11.10 which was later discussed in the GB held

on 27.11.10 in its 144<sup>th</sup> meeting. It was proposed to entrust the work to National

Building Construction Corporation Limited (NBCC) on Deposit Basis. The cost of the

work estimated by NBCC was Rs. 12.22 crore which included all building/ renovation

work, non-medical furniture, patient bed, bed head panel and manifold piping.

Later on, in the 198<sup>th</sup> SFC it was again discussed that the existing private ward

block had been evaluated by the IIT, Roorkee to assess its seismic stability and

they had recommended that the building needs extensive retrofitting, which would

have entailed large scale demolition and breakage prior to reconstruction/

renovation. The said proposal of retrofitting also required a time frame of about 12 months. Hence it was decided in the meeting that instead of retrofitting and renovation thereafter, it may be more logical to demolish and reconstruct the whole block of Old Private Ward.

1.3 As per the concept plan developed by HSCC, Project Consultants, the new proposed block was to have 113 rooms private wards and parking space for 358 cars, in the extended three level basements. Also there was provision for creation of dedicated office space for the Deptt. of Hospital Administration, patient facilitation services for central admission facility and other value added services for the visiting patients. Hence it was decided to go in for demolition and reconstruction. Accordingly, HSCC, the project consultants, were asked to prepare and submit the detailed plans to Municipal authorities and to call for prequalification bids in a manner that the prospective bidders should be capable of handling work of this magnitude in a time frame of 15 months.

1.4 Thereafter tenders were floated by M/s. HSCC and the SFC in its 201<sup>st</sup> meeting approved the award of the work to L1 bidder i.e. M/s. L&T Constructions. The acceptance of the bid was intimated to the L1 bidder and a letter of intent was issued on 24.7.12 advising the firm to await statutory approvals before undertaking implementation of the project. Director, AIIMS also took a meeting with L&T officials wherein they were advised to commence demolition work after 1<sup>st</sup> week of September, 2012. Statutory clearances were delayed as the Delhi Fire Service had capped the height of the building at 30 mts. , against the proposed height of 34 mts. On account of this 20 rooms were getting reduced. The Hon'ble Health and Family Welfare Minister requested the Lt. Governor, Delhi to review the decision of the Delhi Fire Service. The Lt. Governor, Delhi in exercise of his powers under the Delhi Fire Service Act in public interest allowed the proposal of AIIMS to construct up to a height of 34 mts. The formal clearance from Delhi Fire Service was sent to NDMC on 17<sup>th</sup> September, 2012. Meanwhile, the Director on 12<sup>th</sup>

September, 2012 issued instructions for keeping the project on hold. The SFC was informed that in view of the acceptance of tender document and issue of the letter of intent and minuted meeting taken by the Director with L & T on 14<sup>th</sup> August, 2012, there could be financial liability on the Institute. Director, AIIMS clarified that many Members of Parliament had spoken to him about the difficulties that would arise in the Institute on account of demolition of 60 rooms. Accordingly, he had briefed the President, AIIMS, after which this decision was been taken on his advice only. Director, AIIMS, however, intervened to suggest that the new private ward could be demolished and constructed as those were the oldest buildings. He said that there was a lot of construction activities already going on in the Institute. DGHS observed that these aspects should have been taken into consideration before taking approval of the SFC. After considerable discussion, it was decided that in the first instance legal advice be obtained about the liabilities that might devolve on the Institute on account of non-performance of the contract in this case. However it was felt the matter be first discussed in the meeting of the Governing Body in its 148<sup>th</sup> meeting had discussed the proposal and as decided the matter is being placed before the GB with respect to the issues flagged in the discussions held in the said meeting.

2.0 : Administrative Comments

2.1 Feasibility of Demolition of New Private Ward

Regarding construction of New Block after demolition of the existing Private Ward developed in Old Nurses hostel, it is submitted that besides 105 private ward rooms the new private ward block houses multiple departments and facilities. These include, Pulmonary OPD, Geriatrics wards and department. Also it houses part of wards, facilities and equipments of Gynaecology, Radiology, paediatrics & haematology. There is also Central Admission office, Railway

reservation counter, Central Kitchen etc. in the same building. Thus it is not practically feasible to demolish the said private ward block.

However a block can be built in the space adjacent to the said new private ward by demolishing few insignificant structures. A Private ward block has hence already been projected in the Master Plan between New private ward block and the existing ladies hostel. On this plot, a G+9 floor block can be constructed. The concept plans have been concurred by the Medical Superintendent.

## 2.2 Proposal

The cost estimate for civil works has been prepared by M/s HSCC on the basis of CPWD Plinth Area Rates - 2012. Total cost of the project - Rs.100 crore

- Comparison of proposal w.r.t number of rooms, parking, and cost is as below :

	Existing (G+5)	Paid ward (Old proposal)	Paid ward (New proposal)
Total area	3390 SQM.	18574 SQM.	18403 SQM.
Bed capacity	5 VIP rooms 55 single rooms Total 60 rooms	23 VIP rooms 90 single rooms Total 113 rooms	36 VIP rooms 81 single rooms Total 117 rooms
Basement	Nil	3 basements (car parking & services)	3 basements (car parking & services)
Parking in	Nil	358 cars	180 cars
Cost	Existing Block	100 cr. (approx.) (Rs 89.33 awarded cost)	100 cr (total cost)

2.3 Facilities and estimated cost

A tabulated chart of facilities planned in the three planned basements and 10 floors is at Annexure A  
The estimated cost of the block based on Plinth Area Rates of CPWD 2012 is at Annexure B

3.0 Decisions / Approvals required :-

3.1 -Final view about the postponement of the demolition of old private ward and reconstruction thereafter may be taken.

3.2 -Decision about execution of tender awarded to L & T for old private ward block may be taken.

3.3 -Approval of the alternate site for the private ward construction may be given.

In case the alternate site and the proposed block is approved the following steps will be taken:-

- Proceeding with the preparation of detailed design and drawings for submission to statutory bodies by the Consultant.
- Obtaining approvals of statutory bodies viz. DFS, DVAC, AAI, NDMC etc.
- Getting approvals from Estate Committee, Standing Finance Committee and thereafter Governing Body, for the said proposal.



Annexure A

Ground floor -

1. Stilted entrance porch

2. Car lifts = 3 nos.

3. Staircase = 2 nos.

4. Bed lift = 3 nos.

5. Reception and waiting hall

6. Doctor duty room (attached toilet)

7. Offices = 2 rooms

8. Office hall

9. Kitchen

10. Canteen/dining hall

11. Service counter for canteen (indoor & outdoor)

12. Water cooler space

13. Ladies toilet (2 w.c.)

14. Gents toilet (3 w.c.)

15. Janitor room

Basement (1<sup>st</sup> to 3<sup>rd</sup>) -

1. Car lifts = 3 nos.

2. Staircase = 1 nos.

3. Bed lift = 2 nos.

4. Parking bays = 30 nos. Double stacked = 60 cars on each basement = 180 cars total

5. Space for services and stores

Each floor from 1<sup>st</sup> to 9<sup>th</sup> -

1. Staircase = 2

2. Bed lift (3000 x 2400) = 3 nos.

3. Single bed ward (attached toilet) = 9 on each floor = 81 total

4. Deluxe ward (attached toilet & pantry) = 4 on each floor= 36 total
5. Treatment room
6. Nurse station
7. Nurses room
8. Change room
9. Water cooler space
10. Ladies toilet (2 w.c.)
11. Gents toilet (3 w.c.)
12. Janitor room
13. Sluice
14. Pantry
15. Stores = 3 on each floor
16. Electrical room
17. AHU

## Estimated Cost

The cost abstract is as below:

BUDGETARY ESTIMATE		
S.No	Item	
	Total Cost (Rs in Lac)	
A	Building and services	
I	Civil and internal services	
A	Building work & Services	4040.16
B	Basement work & Services	1741.56
	Total- Civil and internal services	5781.72
!!	External Development	
A	Development Work - levelling, internal roads and paths & sewer	12.25
B	Horticulture Operations	2.80
C	Exit Signage	2.98
	Total- External Development	18.03
!!!	PHE and Fire Fighting	
A	Water Tank(Underground and overhead)	96.75
B	Water Supply Distribution & grid lines	6.48
C	Storm water Drains	2.98
D	Water curtain fire fighting system for Basement	4.00
E	Recycling of STP water	1.58
F	Borewells- 1 Nos	8.00
G	Water treatment plant & softener	59.97
H	Solar Water Heating System	22.05
I	Fire Fighting & Fire Alarm system	224.76
J	ETP/ Grease Trap	10.00
	Total- PHE and Fire Fighting	436.56
iv	Electricals	
A	Electrical work	486.57
B	Lifts	285.00
C	Street Lighting	5.78
D	Puzzle Parking arrangement	336.00
	Total- Electricals	1113.35
V	Air-conditioning/Ventilation	
A	HVAC	761.90

B	Basement ventilation	95.26
	Total - Air-conditioning/Ventilation	857.16
	Sub - total ( i to v )	8206.81
vi	Escalation @ 6.5% P.A. for one Year	533.44
	Sub - total ( i to vi )	8740.25 (B)
vii	Levies	
A	Add for labour cess @ 1% on B	87.40
B	Add for VAT/WCT @ 2% on B	174.81
	Sub-total ( i to vii )	9002.46 (C)
viii	Contingencies	
	Add for contingencies @3% on C	270.07
	Total (A)	9272.53
	Add for Project consultancy charges @ 7% including Service Tax @ 12.36%	729.30
	Total cost	10001.84
	Say Rs	100.00

Note: The above estimated cost does not include the cost of Furniture, Furnishing, Equipment and recurring expenses.

To consider enhancing the monetary value of the penalties upto 7-8 lakhs for discontinuing PG course at AIIMS

ITEM NO. GB-149/16

NOTE FOR THE GOVERNING BODY

NOTE FOR GOVERNING BODY

Item No.GB/149/16

Subject: To consider enhancing the monetary value of the penalties upto 7-8 lakhs for discontinuing PG course at AIIMS

INTRODUCTION

The enhancement of penalty for abandoning Post Graduate seats /Junior Residents was discussed in the 107<sup>th</sup> Academic Committee meeting held on 4<sup>th</sup> September vide Item No.AC/108/2.

The extract from the Minutes of this Academic Committee meeting held on 4.9.2012 is as below:

“On the matter for enhancing the penalty for abandoning post graduate seats (Item No.AC/102/02) data for the last 5 years, regarding number of PG students leaving the course was put up in the meeting. The Chairman pointed out this penalty is so less that the students who are not interested in pursuing the course join for a few months and then leave in between so the students who are interested in those disciplines do not get the seats. He, therefore, suggested that AIIMS should consider enhancing the monetary value of the penalties upto 7-8 lakhs. Dr Ramakant Panda also supported the view to enhance the penalty. Director has pointed out that before enhancing the penalty to this extent Resident and Students Union need to be informed and their views should also be taken before Governing Body (GB) as an agenda.”

The Academic Committee decided that the penalty should be increased, however, the amount to be decided by Governing Body and this item be placed in the Governing Body accordingly.

ADMINISTRATIVE COMMENTS

1. It may be stated that the Academic Section informed RDA as well as Student's Union about the proposal to increase the penalty and sought their views.
2. In response to this the Students Union has replied that the PG course may be discontinued due to lot of reasons and the graduate students don't earn besides they are paid stipend. Such heavy penalty is therefore not at all justified. For further clarifications a meeting may be arranged but this proposal should not be applied.

3. The RDA has not responded despite sending one reminder to them.

4. The relevant rules position as indicated in the prospectus for each session in respect of Postgraduate Seats (Junior Residents-Academic) is as under:

a) Each Junior Resident will be on contract service for a period of three years.

b) If any candidate who joins the MD/MS/MDS course leaves the said course before the expiry of one year of joining, he/she shall be liable to pay a sum of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand only) and any candidate who joins the PG course and who leaves after one year of joining shall be liable to pay a sum of Rs.1 lakh (Rupees One lakh only) by way of compensation/losses incurred by the AIIMS due to such midstream departure. The salary of the concerned month of the resignation in which he/she has resigned from the PG seat, shall be forfeited.

#### APPROVAL SOUGHT

a) In view of the above, it is proposed that the penalty for abandoning Postgraduate seat (MD/MS/MDS) after confirming the same at any time upto one year of joining, may be enhanced from Rs.50,000/- to Rs.7 lakhs. If any candidate who joins the Junior Residency (Postgraduate Seat) and leaves the said course before the expiry of one year of joining, he/she shall be liable to pay a sum of Rs.7 lakhs.

b) For those who abandon the seat after one year of joining, shall be liable to pay a sum of Rs.8 lakh in place of Rs.1 lakh as at present.

c) In addition, salary of the concerned month in which he/she resigns shall be forfeited as is the current practice.

The above proposal is placed before the Governing Body for consideration and approval.

\*\*\*

To discuss the modalities of Inter-Institutional Biodesign  
Centre between three Institutes i.e. AIIMS, IIT-Delhi and  
THSTI, Faridabad

ITEM NO. GB-149/17

NOTE FOR THE GOVERNING BODY





NOTE FOR THE GOVERNING BODY

Item No.GB/149/17

TO DISCUSS THE MODALITIES OF INTER-INSTITUTIONAL BIODESIGN CENTRE BETWEEN THREE INSTITUTES I.E. AIIMS, IIT-DELHI AND THSTI,FARIDABAD.

.....

INTRODUCTION

Dr. M.K. Bhan, Secretary, DBT has vide his email dated 24.9.2012 forwarded to the Director, AIIMS summary of the consensus achieved by AIIMS team, IIT Delhi and DBT in the meeting held on 4.8.2012. Secretary, DBT has sought to know before they mail a copy of EFC and Cabinet Note for comments any additional concerns that Director, AIIMS may have personally that need to be taken on board.

The details of the summary of consensus achieved by AIIMS, IIT Delhi and DBT is as under:-

A meeting was held on 4<sup>th</sup> August, 2012 at AIIMS, New Delhi between senior representative of the Department of Biotechnology, Indian Institute of Technology-Delhi and All India Institute of Medical Sciences to discuss the proposed establishment of an Inter Institutional Centre of AIIMS, IIT-Delhi and THSTI, Faridabad. The proposed institutional mechanism would provide sustainability to the successful Biodesign programme run collaboratively by these three institutions and lay down a proper sustainable mechanism for linking biological and chemical science with bioengineering and other engineering disciplines for promoting biomedical innovation and to use the time tested biodesign process for identifying needs for affordable technology.

The following were present in the meeting:-

1. Dr. M.K. Bhan, Secretary, DBT
2. Prof. R.K. Shevgaonkar, Director, IIT-Delhi
3. Shri Vinet Chawdhry, Dy. Director (Admn.), AIIMS.
4. Dr.A.B. Dey, Dean, AIIMS, New Delhi
5. Prof. Alok Ray, IIT Delhi
6. Dr.Harpal Singh, Faculty, IIT-Delhi
7. Dr.Aika Sharma, Director/Scientist 'F', DBT.

Dr.M.K. Bhan, Secretary, DBT welcomed the participants and summarised the discussions around the inter institutional biodesign centre so far among the stakeholders. He pointed out that the Planning Commission for the 12<sup>th</sup> Plan has approved establishment of inter

institutional centres as an effective way of linking disciplines within and across different domains to facilitate development of novel technology platforms and affordable technologies for our public health and patients needs. DBT has in consultation with the Planning Commission developed guidelines for establishment of inter institutional centres. The group discussed various options by which the inter institutional centre dedicated to health technology innovation can be established and arrived at the following consensus:-

1. All the partners agreed to participate in the proposed inter institutional biodesign centre.
2. Department of Biotechnology will develop an EFC document in consultation with all the stakeholders and process it for approval of the Cabinet. The centre would be dedicated to promote use inspired and innovative research, develop novel technology platform that can be used for development of affordable health technologies and to develop health technologies of relevance to public health programme and patients in the country. The area of focus will include devices and implants, diagnostics and biomarkers, novel delivery systems for drugs and vaccines, other therapeutic and preventive technologies assisted devices for disabled and novel ICT tools for health system and medical system use. The biodesign concept based on clinical immersion, prototype and product development and validation would be an integral part of this Centre.

3. The centre through its extramural activities would be a catalyst in the country for promotion and development of affordable technology linking with other public institutions and industry.
4. The institution will develop capacity in aspects related to regulation, standards and clinical validation of any product developed through the institutions and where appropriate by other institutions in the country.

5. The Department of Biotechnology will establish a Society for establishment of the inter institutional centres comprising of units at AIIMS, IIT-Delhi, THSTI, Faridabad. The Society would be governed as per the norms of the autonomous institutions of Department of Biotechnology, Ministry of Science and Technology, GOI with Governing Board and Financial Committee. The Governing Board would constitute Scientific and Technical Advisory and other sub-Committees as relevant.

The Secretary, DBT would be the Chairman of the Governing Board. Each institute would have two nominees on the Governing Board in addition the concerned official of the Department of Biotechnology and up to 5 external scientific peers would serve on the board. One of the nominated members of the 3 partnered institutions would serve as the Vice Chairman of the board by rotation annually or 2 yearly. The Director, THSTI will convene the board meetings. Governing Body of this society will like an executive committee that will take all decisions. Its size may not be made too unwieldy.

6. The office of the Society will establish at Faridabad as Secretariat of the Centre external to THSTI. This Society will have functional connectivity with the Biotech Industry Research

Assistance Council (BIRAC) and the Biotech Science Cluster Board, Faridabad, BIRAC is a "not-for-profit" company of DBT who will assist the Centre in commercialising its innovations/products, and development of incubation facility at Faridabad campus for young innovators and entrepreneurs.

7. The Department of Biotechnology will provide a core grant to all the components as per budgetary provisions. All the scientific professional and technical staff recruited at each of 3 units will be governed by the rules of the Society of the Inter-institutional Biodesign Centre with regards to recruitment, salary structure, purchase process and all other regulation. All the three units of the inter institutional centre as per standard government practice would provide detail of their expenditure and all the activities funded under the centre will be subject to audit. The EFC and Cabinet note prepared by DBT and after taking views of all the partners into account will be circulated to concerned Ministries, Planning Commission and Department of Expenditure for their concurrence before submission to the Cabinet.

#### ADMINISTRATIVE COMMENTS

In accordance with Section 13 of AIMS Act 1958, the Objects of the Institute shall be:

- (a) To develop patterns of teaching in undergraduate and postgraduate medical education in all its branches so as to demonstrate a 'high standard of medical education to all medical colleges and other allied institutions in India;
- (b) To bring together in one place educational facilities of the highest order for the training of personnel in all important branches of health activity; and
- (c) To attain self-sufficiency in postgraduate medical education.

Functions of the Institute also provides that with a view to the promotion of the objects specified under Section 13, the Institute may –

- (a) Provide for undergraduate and postgraduate teaching in the science of modern medicine and other allied sciences, including physical and biological sciences;
- (b) Provide facilities for research in the various branches of such sciences;
- (c) Provide for the teaching
- (d) of humanities in the undergraduate courses;
- (e) Conduct experiments in new methods of medical education, both undergraduate and postgraduate in order to arrive at satisfactory standards of such education;
- (f) Prescribe courses and curricula for both undergraduate and postgraduate studies.

#### APPROVAL SOUGHT

The above matter is placed before the Governing Body for their consideration.

To consider the proposal for initiating minor penalty  
proceedings against Dr. O.P. Murty, Additional Professor of  
Forensic Medicine, AIIMS, New Delhi in the light of the  
recommendations of Medical Council of India

ITEM NO. GB-149/18

NOTE FOR THE GOVERNING BODY

NOTE FOR THE GOVERNING BODY

Item No. GB/149/18

TO CONSIDER THE PROPOSAL FOR INITIATING MINOR PENALTY PROCEEDINGS AGAINST DR. O.P. MURTY, ADDITIONAL PROFESSOR OF FORENSIC MEDICINE, AIIMS, NEW DELHI IN THE LIGHT OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF MEDICAL COUNCIL OF INDIA.

\*\*\*\*\*

INTRODUCTION

1.

1.1 The Medical Council of India (MCI) vide its letter No. 211(2)(629)-Ethics/51993) dated 23.12.2011 has conveyed that a warning in the form of 'Censure' should be issued to Dr. O.P. Murty directing him to desist from misrepresenting himself as Professor of Forensic Medicine. A copy of the letter received from MCI in this regard is enclosed at Annexure-I.

ADMINISTRATIVE COMMENTS

2.

2.1 Dr. O.P. Murty, Additional Professor of Forensic Medicine was permitted to avail the assignment as Visiting Professor of Pathology at Universiti Teknologi Mara, Selangor, Malaysia for a period of six months w.e.f. 12.11.2007 on his own request. He, however, proceeded on 15.11.2007 (night). He was supposed to join back his duties at this Institute on 15.05.2008. Dr. Murty had, however, proceeded to Dammam, Saudi Arabia on 07.04.2008 to accept an assignment at King Faisal University without formal approval of the competent authority and remained absence from duty un-authorizedly upto 16.08.2009, in spite of repeated recall notices. The penalty of 'Censure' was imposed on him on 11.05.2011 for his aforesaid lapses (Annexure-II). The period of absence from 16.11.2007 to 16.08.2009 including un-authorized absence from 16.05.2008 to 16.08.2009 has been treated as Extra Ordinary Leave Without Pay treating the said un-authorized absence as dies-non.

2.2 An appeal submitted by Dr. O.P. Murty vide his letter dated 30<sup>th</sup> June, 2011 for expunction of the penalty of "Censure" imposed on him was placed before the Governing Body in its meeting held on 22.10.20012. The Governing Body decided not to revoke the penalty of 'Censure' imposed on him.

2.3 It is further stated that the Standing Selection Committee in its meetings held from 17-20<sup>th</sup> November, 2010 and from 16-18<sup>th</sup> December, 2010 interviewed 251 eligible faculty members due for promotion to the next higher grade under Assessment Promotion Scheme. However, 39 faculty members including Dr. O.P. Murty, were declared UNFIT by the Standing Selection Committee. The recommendations of the Standing Selection Committee were approved by the Governing Body in its meeting held on 1<sup>st</sup> March, 2011 and accordingly 212 faculty members as recommended by the Standing Selection Committee were promoted to their respective next higher grades under APS.

2.4 The faculty members who were declared Unfit by the Standing Selection Committee represented for their promotion. The matter was considered by the Governing Body in its 146<sup>th</sup> & 147<sup>th</sup> meetings held on 16.01.2012 and 14.04.2012 respectively and decided to promote these 39 faculty members to their respective next higher grades as one time relief measure which shall not be quoted as precedent.

2.5 However, Dr. O.P. Murty was not promoted keeping in view the penalty of 'Censure' imposed on him for his un-authorized absence and for taking up an assignment at King Faisal University, Ministry of Higher Education, Dammam, Saudi Arabia without formal approval of the competent authority of the Institute. As desired by President, AIIMS, a reference has also been made to the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare vide this office letter F.No. 11-1/2011-Estt.I dated 25.10.2012 and subsequent reminder dated 21.12.2012 thereby seeking clarification from Department of Personnel & Training (DoPT) about promotion of Dr. O.P. Murty in the light of penalty of 'Censure' imposed on him. No clarifications have so far been received.

The recommendations of the Medical Council of India to issue a warning to Dr. O.P. Murty, Additional Professor of Forensic Medicine directing him to desist from misrepresenting himself as Professor of Forensic Medicine are placed before the Governing Body for a decision.

13/11/11

21/11/11

As per above decision a reminder has been sent to the Director, AIIMS, New Delhi  
The Committee further directed the Council to send a copy of Dr. O.P. Murthy's reply to the  
complaint, Mr. Anand Kumar and also a reminder be issued to Director, All India  
Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi in continuation to MCI's letter dated 20.11.2010.

1. Numbers of inspections attended by Dr. O. P. Murthy and the reports.
2. Copies of letters issued to him from MCI in this regard.
3. MCI criteria for appointment of inspectors.

Underwriting Section of MCI:-  
The Committee perused the complaint of Mr. Anand Kumar dated 27.11.2009 addressed  
to the secretary, MCI and also perused the reply submitted by Dr. O. P. Murthy of AIIMS,  
Dept. of Forensic Medicine dated 20.12.2010. After going through both the letters, the  
committee is of the opinion that the following information may be obtained from the

Underwriting Section of MCI:-  
The Ethics Committee considered the matter with regard to (1) complaint against Dr. O.P.  
Murthy for plagiarism of Scientific papers and other Fraud and Cheating at AIIMS, and  
(2) Complaint against Criminal nexus of Dr. O.P. Murthy additional professor forensic  
medicine AIIMS and Dr. Ketan Desai former MCI President and others for monetary gain,  
extortion of money by blackmail, forgery and fudging the inspection report for the various  
medical colleges by impersonation and other criminal activities with regard to grant of  
permission/recognition of various medical colleges in the country.

"The Ethics Committee considered the complaint against Dr. O.P. Murthy and  
on 11.01.2011 and the decision was decided as under:-  
That the matter was considered by the Ethics Committee at its meeting held

This is to inform you that the matter was considered by the Ethics Committee  
constituted by the Board of Governors, at its meeting held on 01.11.2011 and the Ethics  
Committee decided as under:-

(2) Complaint against Criminal nexus of Dr. O.P. Murthy additional professor  
forensic medicine AIIMS and Dr. Ketan Desai former MCI President and  
others for monetary gain, extortion of money by blackmail, forgery and  
fudging the inspection report for the various medical colleges by  
impersonation and other criminal activities with regard to grant of  
permission/recognition of various medical colleges in the country.

Subject: (1) Complaint against Dr. O.P. Murthy for plagiarism of Scientific papers and  
other Fraud and Cheating at AIIMS, (F.No. 629/2009).

THE DIRECTOR,  
All India Institute of Medical Sciences,  
Ansari Nagar, New Delhi - 110029  
No. MCI - 211(2)(629)/2009-Ethics/51993  
Date: 23/11/11  
Item No.11, November, 2011

Faculty Cell  
New Delhi-110029  
A.I.M.S.  
Date: 23/11/11

पॉकेट - 14, सेक्टर - 8, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली - 110 077  
Pocket - 14, Sector - 8, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110 077  
(1933 - 2008)  
Platinum Jubilee


MEDICAL COUNCIL OF INDIA





MEDICAL COUNCIL OF INDIA

प्लेटिनम जूबिली - 14, खंड - 8, इलाहाबाद, राई दिवली - 110 077  
 प्लेटिनम जूबिली (1933 - 2008)



In response, the Deputy Director, Administration, AIIMS vide his letter dated 20.01.2011 has informed that the matter referred on the above may kindly be looked into expeditiously and provide the relevant information on priority.

The information provided by the U.G. Section is as under:-

"As desired by the Ethics Section, it is stated that from perusal of the inspection records of the U.G. Section from the academic year 2007-2008 to till date, it has been found that Dr. O.P. Murthy has been carried out the following inspections :-

S.No.	Name of the College	Type of Inspection	Date of Inspection
1.	S.D.M. Medical College, Dharwad	Pre- PG Inspection	5th Jan, 2010
2.	Kasturba Medical College, Mangal	Continuance of Recognition	17th & 18th Nov, 2006
3.	Kasturba Medical College, Mangalore	Continuance of Recognition	20th & 21st Nov, 2006
4.	B.M. Patil Medical College, Bijapur	Continuance of Recognition	29th & 30th Dec, 2009

The matter was again considered by the Ethics Committee at its meeting held on 08.03.2011 and the decision was decided as under:-

"The Ethics Committee considered the complaint lodged by Dr. Anuradha Kumar against Dr. O.P. Murthy for plagiarism of scientific papers and other fruit and cheating at AIIMS and also the complaint against criminal nexus of Dr. O.P. Murthy, Additional Professor forensic medicine AIIMS and Dr. Keam Deen former MCI President and others for monetary gain, extortion of money by blackmail, forgery and fudging the inspection report for the various medical colleges by impersonation and other criminal activities with regard to grant of permission/recognition of various medical colleges in the country and noted that in the previous meeting, the Committee had considered the letter dated 27.11.2009 of Dr. Anuradha Kumar and the reply dated 20.12.2010 submitted by Dr. O.P. Murthy, directed the UG Section of the Medical Council of India to provide certain information in respect of Dr. O.P. Murthy. The Committee also recommended to send a copy of Dr. O.P. Murthy's reply to Dr. Anuradha Kumar.

On perusal of the records available in the Council Office, the UG Section has provided the copy of various letters dated 13.11.2006, 14.11.2006, 26.12.2009 and 02.01.2010 of MCI whereby requesting to Dr. O.P. Murthy to carry out the inspection of the various medical colleges. The said letters of the Medical Council of India was placed before the Committee. On perusal of the MCI reports duly signed by the assessors where Dr. O.P. Murthy was one of the assessors, it was found that Dr. O.P. Murthy signed these reports as Professor of Forensic Medicine, thus substantiating the complaint.

After considering the said report, the Committee decided to send a letter to Dr. Anuradha Kumar with the request to provide adequate documents in support of his complaint. Let matter be placed before ethics committee on 5.4.2011."


In view of above, the matter is placed before the Ethics Committee at its meeting held on 05.04.2011 and the committee decided as under:-

"The Ethics Committee could not consider the matter due to priority of time and the same may be placed before the committee at its next meeting on 10/5/11."

भारतीय आयुर्विज्ञान परिषद्

MEDICAL COUNCIL OF INDIA

Platinum Jubilee (1933 - 2008)



पता - 14, ब्लाक - 8, द्वारका, नई दिल्ली - 110 077  
Pöcket - 14, Sector - 8, Dwarka, New Delhi - 110 077

The matter was again placed before the Ethics Committee at its meeting held on 10.05.2011 and the Ethics Committee decided as under:

The Ethics Committee perused the records and noted the inspection report signed by Dr. O.P. Murthy. It was observed by the Committee that Dr. O.P. Murthy has signed as Professor while his designation is that of Additional Professor, Department of Forensic Medicine, AIMS as per the records available with the Council. The Ethics Committee came to the conclusion that it is a prima facie case of professional misconduct on the part of Dr. O.P. Murthy, therefore, the Ethics Committee is of the opinion that in the interest of justice a show-cause notice should be given to Dr. O.P. Murthy and called for personal hearing at the next date of meeting.

The Ethics Committee meeting to be held on 14.06.2011 has been postponed.

As per above decision, Dr. O.P. Murthy was requested to appear before the Ethics Committee on 01.11.2011 vide Council's letter dated 19.10.2011.

In reference to above notice, Dr. O.P. Murthy appeared before the Ethics Committee on 01.11.2011. The Ethics Committee enquired whether he has received letter for inspection designating him as Professor and whether he has signed the confidential inspection report of Medical Council of India as Professor of Forensic Medicine

report, which Dr. O.P. Murthy replied - Never.

On perusal of all the original documents (Inspection Reports) shown, as provided by Undergraduate Section of the Council, signed by Dr. O.P. Murthy as Professor of Forensic Medicine. Dr. Murthy agreed that he is misrepresenting himself by signing Forensic Medicine. The Ethics Committee is of unanimous opinion that a warning/in form of censure should be issued to Dr. Murthy directing him to desist from this representing himself as a Professor of Forensic Medicine. A copy of this letter should be sent Director, AIMS for information and for placing it in Dr. Murthy's annual appraisal report."

In view of above decision of the Ethics Committee you are hereby directed to place the matter in Dr. Murthy's annual appraisal report.

Section Office  
(Anil Kumar)

Anil Kumar

Yours faithfully,

(VINAY CHAUDHARY)  
DEPUTY DIRECTOR (ADMINISTRATION)

For & on behalf of the Disciplinary Authority

By order of the President, AIIMS

Without Pay treating the said un-authorised absence as dies-non.

including un-authorised absence from 16.05.2008 to 16.08.2009 be treated as Extra Ordinary Leave and Toxicology. It is further ordered that the period of absence from 16.11.2007 to 16.08.2009 impose the penalty of 'CENSURE' on Dr. Om Prakash Murty, Additional Professor of Forensic Medicine CCS (CCA) Rules, 1965 read with Regulation 33 (2) of the AIIMS Regulations 1999 (as amended) hereby NOW, THEREFORE, I, in, exercise of the power conferred on me under Rules 15 of the

upon Dr. Om Prakash Murty, to meet the ends of justice.

President, AIIMS being the Disciplinary Authority has decided to impose the penalty of 'CENSURE' the circumstances of the case and taking into consideration all other relevant aspects in the matter, the conclusion that Dr. Om Prakash Murty is guilty of the charge levelled against him. Having regard to After careful consideration of the relevant material on records, the Disciplinary Authority has come to AND WHEREAS Dr. Om Prakash Murty had denied the charges levelled against him.

CCS (Conduct) Rules, 1964 as applicable to the employees of the Institute.

and has acted in a manner unbecoming of an Institute employee, thereby contravening Rule 3 (1) of the Dr. Om Prakash Murty is thus, responsible for negligence of duty and gross misconduct

notice on 21.05.2008, 21.04.2009 and 25.05.2009.

remained absent from duty un-authorisedly upto 16.08.2009, inspite of repeated recall at King Faisal University without formal approval of the competent authority and however, proceeded to Dammam, Saudi Arabia on 07.04.2008 to accept an assignment He was supposed to join back his duties at this Institute on 15.05.2008. Dr. Murty had, w.e.f. 12.11.2007 on his own request. He, however, proceeded on 15.11.2007 (night). Pathology at Universiti Teknologi Mara, Selangor, Malaysia for a period of six months Medicine & Toxicology was permitted to avail the assignment as Visiting Professor of That the said Dr. Om Prakash Murty while working as Additional Professor of Forensic

ARTICLE OF CHARGE

following article of charge:-

the Central Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1965 calling upon him to answer the Toxicology was served with memorandum No. F.6-20/92-Estt.1 dated the 14<sup>th</sup> July, 2010 under Rule 14 of WHEREAS Dr. Om Prakash Murty, Additional Professor of Forensic Medicine &

ORDER

Dated the: 11 MAY 2011

No.F.6-20/92-Estt.1

ALL INDIA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL SCIENCES  
ANSARI NAGAR, NEW DELHI - 29

214

CONFIDENTIAL

11 May 2011

NOTE FOR THE GOVERNING BODY

ITEM NO. GB-149/19

Vigilance case regarding imposition of penalty –  
ratification thereof (Confidential)

(To be placed on the table)